

O'Neill School of Public and Environmental Affairs

State Tax Reforms in a Federalist System

Luis Navarro*, Craig L. Johnson, Andrey Yushkov, Bahawal Sharyar

Presentation for the ABFM Conference

INDIANA UNIVERSITY BLOOMINGTON

Introduction

- This paper provides an exploration on the determinants of state personal income tax (PIT) reforms.
- Using data from the Tax Foundation, we provide a characterization of long-term trends on state income tax reforms.
- This talk: Income Tax Policy --> Top PIT Rates
- We examine and test a theory of fiscal space as determinant of state income tax reform.
- Findings preview: i) Federal IG revenues play a mayor role on the determination of state PIT policy; ii) an increase in federal transfers increases the probability of tax reductions.



Since the early 2000, we have observed a rising trend on cuts on the top PIT rate.



Source: Figure 1 at Johnson et al. (2024) Note: Calculations exclude states without a PTT rate. Tax changes for 2002 are not included since our analysis starts on fhis date. Panel A shows the number of tax changes observed in each year. Both panels show individual lines according to the type of tax policy implemented (i.e., tax increase or tax docrease). Shaded areas correspond to the period of the Great Recession and the COVID-19 panel deriver concerved.



This has translated in a decrease on the average (and median) state top PIT rates.

Top Personal Income Tax Rate

Distribution Across State Governments with PIT

- Average Rate - Median Rate



Note: This graph shows the average (and median) top PIT rate across states that levy a personal income tax.

Approach to PIT reform has been heterogenous across states.

Top PIT Rate - Selected States

- Alabama Connecticut Oklahoma
- California Ohio



Note: This graph shows the top PIT rate for some selected states.



Income Tax Policy Classification – Long Term (Fixed) Classification

Considering the trajectory of each state top PIT rate, we assign them to one of the following categories.



- No PIT Rate (9): states that do not levy a personal income tax.
- No Tax Change (2): the PIT rate remained constant through all periods.
- Tax Decreasers (19): The PIT rate is monotonically 1 over time. All changes on the PIT rate were negative.
- Tax Increasers (2): The PIT rate is monotonically 1 over time. Al changes on the PIT rate were positive.
- Tax Switchers Net Decreasers (6): changes on the PIT rate were either positive or negative, but the net change (cumulative sum of tax changes) is negative.
- Tax Switchers Net Increasers (12): changes on the PIT rate were either positive or negative, but the net change (cumulative sum of tax changes) is positive.

Note: this map shows the long-term classification of states income tax policy using the categorization algorithm described above

Income Tax Policy Classification – Time-Varying Classification



Note: this diagram shows how some selected states are classified into one of the categories, given their observed trajectory on PIT rates.



Research Question and Hypotheses

Research Question: what are the main determinants behind state personal income tax reform?

- Fiscal Space: room in a government's budget that allows it to provide resources for a desired purpose without jeopardizing the sustainability of its financial position or the stability of the economy. (IMF)
- Theory: states with more fiscal space are more likely to decrease taxes (and viceversa).
- Measures of Fiscal Space: i) Fed IG Transfers; ii) Outstanding Debt; iii) Net Operating Balance; iv) General Fund (GF) Balance. (Expressed as % of state GDP).

			n	
	Fiscal Space	Pr(Tax Decrease)	Pr(Tax Increase)	
Fed IG Transfers	+	+	-	
Outstanding Debt	-	-	+	Testable
Fiscal Balance	+	+	-	Hypothese
GF Balance	+	+	-	



Empirical Model

Multinomial Logit Model

We estimate the probability of state i being assigned into tax policy category k, relative to being assigned to the "No Tax Change" category.

$$\log\left(\frac{\Pr(TaxClass_{it+1} = k)}{\Pr(TaxClass_{it+1} = NoTaxChange)}\right) = \beta X_{it} + \gamma Z_{it} + b_t + e_{it}$$

Econometric Specification

- Dep var: i) fixed (long-term) classification; ii) time-varying (dynamic) classification.
- Indep vars (X): vector of fiscal space variables, % state GDP.
- Controls (Z): political affiliation of state governor and house, % sales tax revenue to total revenue, unemployment rate. Models with and without year fixed effects.
- · We report marginal effects, with robust standard errors.



Results – Fixed (Long Term) Classification

Marg. Eff. | Eff. Size

Ш

Fiscal Space Determinants on Income Tax Policy Classification

Marginal Effect on Pr(Tax Policy Classification - Long Term)

Fixed - Fixed + Year FE



Note: this graph show the marginal effects of the determinants of fiscal space on the probability of being assigned into one of the income tax categories, relative to the probability of being assigned to No Tax Change. Robust standard errors used to compute confidence intervals.

Results – Time Varying (Dynamic) Classification

Fiscal Space Determinants on Income Tax Policy Classification

Marginal Effect on Pr(Tax Policy Classification - Dynamic)





Note: this graph show the marginal effects of the determinants of fiscal space on the probability of being assigned into one of the income tax categories, relative to the probability of being assigned to No Tax Change. Robust standard errors used to compute confidence intervals.



Marg. Eff. | Eff. Size

Robustness Check – Simplified Model

Fiscal Space Determinants on Simplified Tax Policy Classification

Marginal Effect on Pr(Tax Policy Classification)

✤ Simplified ♣ Simplified + Year FE



Note: this graph show the marginal effects of the determinants of fiscal space on the probability of being assigned into one of the income tax categories, relative to the probability of being assigned to No Tax Change. Robust standard errors used to compute confidence intervals.

INDIANA UNIVERSITY BLOOMINGTON

Marg. Eff. | Eff. Size

Ш

Summary: an increase in Federal IG Revenues equivalent to 1% of GDP:

Dep Var	Tax Policy	Нур	Marginal Effect	Effect Size (% Mean Dep Var)
Fixed (Long-Term) Classification	Decreaser	+	0.1630***	35.2%
	Net Decreaser	+	0.0146	9.98%
	Net Increaser	-	-0.1217***	-41.6%
	Increaser	-	-0.0491***	-101%
Time-Varying (Dynamic) Classification	Decreaser	+	0.073***	25.2%
	Net Decreaser	+	-0.0072	-24.2%
	Net Increaser	-	-0.0464***	-32.3%
	Increaser	-	-0.0955***	-80.1%
Simplified Classification	Net Decreaser	+	0.0616***	19.26%
	Net Increaser	-	-0.1306***	-49.67%



Discussion and Next Steps

- There is a long-term trend on decreasing PIT rates among state governments.
- Empirical results suggest that Federal IG transfers play a significant role in the determination of state income tax policy.
- Larger reliance on federal IG revenues reduces the likelihood of net tax increases and viceversa. Finding consistent with fiscal replacement literature (Gramlich, 1987).
- Next steps: address potential endogeneity between Fed IG revenues and Income Tax Policy. IV residual inclusion approach. Cluster-bootstrap algorithm to get duster-robust SE by state.



Thanks for your attention!



Scan to learn more about this project.

I am in the Job Market!

Contact: Luis Navarro lunavarr@iu.edu





Income Tax Policy Classification

Considering the trajectory of each state top PIT rate, we assign them to one of the following categories.

- No PIT Rate (9): states that do not levy a personal income tax.
- No Tax Change (2): the PIT rate remained constant through all periods.
- Tax Decreasers (19): The PIT rate is monotonically decreasing over time. All changes on the PIT rate were negative.
- Tax Increasers (2): The PIT rate is monotonically increasing over time. All changes on the PIT rate were positive.
- Tax Switchers Net Decreasers (6): changes on the PIT rate were either positive or negative, but the net change (cumulative sum of tax changes) is negative.
- Tax Switchers Net Increasers (12): changes on the PIT rate were either positive or negative, but the net change (cumulative sum of tax changes) is positive.



Income Tax Rate by Tax Policy Group

Average Top PIT Rate across groups

•• No Tax Change - Tax Increasers

- Tax Switchers Net Increaser
- Tax Decreasers Tax Switchers Net Decreaser



Note: This graph shows the top PIT rate for some selected states.

Πſ



O'Neill School of Public and Environmental Affairs

State Tax Reforms in a Federalist System

Luis Navarro*, Craig L. Johnson, Andrey Yushkov, Bahawal Sharyar

Presentation for the ABFM Conference

INDIANA UNIVERSITY BLOOMINGTON